

I am angered by Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. This is a clear case of what can happen when many information outlets are controlled by one interest.

Sinclair's legal obligation is to serve the public interest. The bottom line--their profit--can't come before this. That's part of the contract in which we allow them to use the public airwaves for FREE. The power that Sinclair has garnered through "ownership" of stations cannot be used to force biased programming upon the viewing public. That's called advertising, and you have to pay extra for it!

This situation illustrates the need for stronger, rather than weaker, media ownership rules. The license renewal process has to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you for your time.